

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/772,176	01/29/2001	James A. Proctor JR.	TAN-2-1508.01.US	1093	
24374 7590 0/1/5/2009 VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. DEPT. ICC UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 1/TH STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BURD, KEVIN MICHAEL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPH	IIA, PA 19103		2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/772,176	PROCTOR, JAMES A.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kevin M. Burd	2611	

	Kevin M. Burd	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this ication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the cation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time						
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TW				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26(a) and the appropriat	o ovtoneion foo				
Lateristons of their entry be doublered outlets of the control of the date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR.1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR.1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I 			cause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		ΓE below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet		tucina or simplifyina t	ha iceuae for				
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	adding or simplifying t	10 133403 101				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5-19,21,22,25-39 and 42.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	thefree season the data of filling a Nice						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. \square The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing							
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	onndition for allowen	aa baaassaas				
See Continuation Sheet.		Condition for allowari	ce because.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
/Kevin M. Burd/							
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has added new limitations to the claims that would require further consideration and/or search. The previous data transfer rate and power level limitation recited in claims 18 and 38 have been amended in an attempt to overcome the previous rejections of the claims.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As stated in the previous office action, Suonvieri discloses determining frequency errors attributed to the motion of the mobile station. As a result of this determination, the range and power of the wireless transmission is changed. Jou discloses computing the gain level of the velocity of the mobile to adjust the transmit power. Rotheart discloses calculating the distance from a mobile unit. The time is measured. This time is compared an acceptable level. The change is the change from a previously acceptable level to a possible unacceptable level. The transmission power is then changed as stated in the previous office action. The reiections of the claims are maintained.